Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/030,770	DE BLOCK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary K Graham	1744
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Gary K Graham</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ilya Zborosvky</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 September 2004	Time:	·
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 11 and 17		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Changing "to" totorward in claim 11 since the tongue does in line 3, changing "the cover strip" toa cover strip to correct a	not extend all the way to the other	end section. Also, in claim 17,
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte	en summary of the substance
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar	y by the examiner appears in F	Part II above.
_ law law		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)